

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,508	03/09/2004	Yuichi Ueda	MM8845US	1950
22203 7590 12/21/2006 KUSNER & JAFFE			EXAMINER	
HIGHLAND I	PLACE SUITE 310	, <u> </u>	GREENHUT, CHARLES N	
6151 WILSON MILLS ROAD HIGHLAND HEIGHTS. OH 44143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3652	
CHORTENED STATISTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	V MODE
	ONTHS	12/21/2006	DELIVERY MODE  PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,508	UEDA, YUICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles N. Greenhut	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. Imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oct     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the condition of the closed in t	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction of	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
· ·					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

l. Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37

CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR

1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/23/06 has been entered.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation from the relevant paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112:

(2) The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and

distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 1 and 3-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

1.1. Claim 1 recites the limitation, "a pair of raising and lowering cables each having one

end connected to one of a front side end and a rear side end" in line 9-10. The

phraseology "one of" would imply that both cables may be connected to only the

front or only the rear. Based on subsequent language in line 16-17, however, "guided

from the front side end and the rear side end" (emphasis supplied) this is apparently

not the case. Examiner suggests, "a pair of raising and lowering cables, wherein one

of said pair of cables is connected to a front side end of an upper part of said platform

and the other of said pair of cables is connected to a rear side end of an upper part of

said platform" or similar language to clarify the limitation.

III. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TANAKA (JP 01-092108 A).
  - 1.1. With respect to claim 1, TANAKA discloses a running truck body that runs along a track (Fig. 1), a platform (9), longitudinal pair of raising and lowering poles (8b)/(8d), pair of cables (11)/(12), driving wheel (21), and the ropes guided from the opposite sides of the upper part of the platform to vicinity of a central portion of the running track, together to the vicinity of a central lower portion of the platform (Fig. 2) and a tension setting device (Fig. 3). While TANAKA discloses all the recited elements of the claim, TANAKA fails to disclose the location of the tension setting device as on the platform. It has been held however, that rearranging parts requires no more than ordinary skill in the art.
  - 1.2. With respect to claim 3-6, TANAKA additionally discloses a spring, error detecting unit (Fig. 3), a moving member, setting jig, and detector (Fig. 3), a driving wheel at each end (Fig. 2), and the claimed guide wheel configuration (Fig. 2).

## IV. Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 10/23/06 have been fully considered.

1. Applicant argues that TANAKA does not render claim 1 obvious because TANAKA fails to teach cables guided together to a vicinity of a central lower portion of the platform. This

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508

Art Unit: 3652

Page 4

argument is not persuasive. Firstly the cables of TANAKA may be regarded as guided

together to a vicinity of a central lower portion of the platform within the broadest reasonable

interpretation of that term. Secondly, even if the term "together" required the lower cable

configuration shown in applicant's preferred embodiment all that is required to meet such a

limitation would be a rearrangement of the parts of TANAKA. It has been held that

rearrangement of parts requires no more than ordinary skill in the art. Since Applicant has in

no way demonstrated the criticality of such a limitation a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is

appropriate.

2. Applicant argues that TANAKA does not render claim 1 obvious because TANAKA fails to

teach the tension setting device mounted on the platform. This argument is not persuasive.

All that is required to meet such a limitation would be a rearrangement of the parts of

TANAKA. It has been held that rearrangement of parts requires no more than ordinary skill

in the art. Since Applicant has in no way demonstrated the criticality of such a limitation a

rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is appropriate.

3. Applicant should also note that the Japanese language document cited by applicant H2-18403

appears to show the features relied upon by applicant for patentability in figure 2. Namely, a

tension setting device attached to the platform (26) and the cables guided together to a central

location on the underside of the platform. This issue should be addressed by Applicant in

reply to this office action.

V. Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508

Art Unit: 3652

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm EST.

2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5